



Mid-Tier Grant Assessment Criteria 2025

Mandatory Criteria

Criteria	Assessment
1. The applicant is a registered organisation with an ABN or has an auspice arrangement.	Yes
	No
2. The organisation is willing to uphold the National Principles for Child Safe Organisations, comply with all relevant Western Australian child safety laws and provide documentation upon request.	Yes
	No
3. The project engages an underrepresented community group in a STEM-based activity, as described in the grant guidelines.	Yes
	No
4. The event takes place during National Science Week (9-17 August 2025) or at any time in August 2025.	Yes
	No
5. The activity is not limited to school students and staff—it demonstrates a clear benefit to the wider community.	Yes
	No
6. The applicant’s budget aligns with the grant’s budget guidelines, demonstrating value for money through the effective and efficient use of funding.	Yes
	No
7. The applicant commits to collecting participant feedback and meeting reporting requirements.	Yes
	No

General Criteria

Criteria	Assessment
1. Event Description	No or limited description provided.
	Description is clear but lacks planning.
	Description is clear and well thought out.
2. STEM Content	No or limited STEM content provided.
	STEM content is mentioned but lacks clarity.
	STEM content is clearly defined with details on delivery.
3. Anticipated Outcomes	No or limited outcomes provided.
	Outcomes are mentioned but lack clarity.
	Outcomes are clearly defined, with a strong focus on community benefit.
4. Audience & Event Promotion	No or limited marketing strategy provided, with no clear method for attracting target audience.



	Limited strategy with only one promotional avenue, with a vague method of attracting target audience.
	Clear strategy using multiple promotional avenues, with a well-explained method for attracting target audience.
5. Contingency Planning	No or limited consideration for contingency planning.
	Contingency planning mentioned but lacks detail or clarity.
	Contingency planning is clear and well thought out.
6. Feedback and Evaluation	No or limited strategy for collection of feedback and attendance.
	Strategy is vague or lacks detail.
	Strategy is detailed and outlines how attendance and feedback will be collected.
7. Budget	No or minimal breakdown with weak justification.
	Budget is completed but lacks justification, detail, or in-kind support.
	Budget is detailed, well-structured, and includes clear justifications and satisfactory in-kind support.

Desirable Criteria

Criteria	Assessment
1. The project demonstrates a strong partnership with an organisation that represents or supports underrepresented communities as outlined in guidelines.	Yes
	No
2. Letters of support or supporting documentation are provided from project partners or an organisation that represents an underrepresented community.	Yes
	No
3. The applicant or project partner/s have the skills, experience and resources needed to successfully run this project.	Yes
	No
4. The project has a lasting impact, providing future STEM opportunities for attendees or serving as a launch point for a long-term program.	Yes
	No
5. The project considers sustainable event planning to minimise environmental impact.	Yes
	No
6. Participation is free or costs \$10 or less per attendee.	Yes
	No